Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



Title of Report:	Ernst and Young – Presentation of External Audit Plan and Fees 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 Indicative Fees		
Report No:	PAS/SE/17/	013	
Report to and dates:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee	25 May 2017	
Portfolio holder:	Ian Houlder Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 01284 810074 Email: ian.houlder@stedsbc.gov.uk		
Lead officer:	Rachael Mann Assistant Director (Resources and Performance) Tel: 01638 719245 Email: rachael.mann@westsuffolk.gov.uk		
Purpose of report:	 Ernst and Young's proposed approach and scope for the 2016/2017 audit along with the planned fees to complete the work as set out in the Audit Plan, attached at Appendix A; and The indicative fees for the 2017/2018 audit as set out in the letter attached at Appendix B. 		
Recommendation:	Members are asked t	dit Scrutiny Committee: to <u>note</u> the External Audit 16/2017, along with the 017/2018.	

Key Decision:	Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition?				
(Check the appropriate			Decision - □		
box and delete all those		-			
that do not apply.)	No, it is not a Key Decision - ⊠				
Consultation:		This report has been prepared in consultation with the Resources and Performance team, Leadership Team and the Portfolio Holder for Resources and			
A1	<i>.</i>		formance.		
Alternative option	ı(s):	• N/A	4		
Implications:		<u> </u>			
Are there any finar	cial implicat	tions?	Yes ⊠ No □		
If yes, please give details			 The report includes the planned 2016/2017 audit fee of £43,737 and certification of claims fee of £22,582. The report also includes an indicative audit fee for 2017/18 of £43,767. The indicative certification of claims fee has yet to be confirmed. 		
Are there any staffing implications?		ions?	Yes □ No ⊠		
If yes, please give of		0715.			
Are there any ICT i		` If	Yes □ No ⊠		
yes, please give det	•		•		
Are there any legal and/or polic implications? If yes, please give details			Yes ⊠ No □ • External audit is a statutory function.		
Are there any equa	lity implicat	ions?	Yes □ No ⊠		
If yes, please give of	-		1.00 = 1.10 =		
Risk/opportunity	assessmen	t:	(potential hazards or opportunities affecting		
Diek avea	Inherent le	val af	corporate, service or p		
Risk area	risk (before controls)	vei or	Controls	Residual risk (after controls)	
	Low/Medium/	High*		Low/Medium/ High*	
The assessment of the key strategic or operational risks and the financial statement risks facing the Council may not be correct	Medium		Assessment of key strategic or operational risks and the financial statement risks facing the Council have been identified through External Audits knowledge of the entity's operations and discussion with members and officers.	Low	
Ward(s) affected:			N/A		

Background papers:	None	
Documents attached:	Appendix A – Ernst and Young Audit Plan 2016/2017	
	Appendix B – Ernst and Young Annual Audit and Certification Fees 2017/2018	

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

- 1.1 The Council's appointed external auditors, Ernst and Young ("EY"), are required to provide an audit plan which covers the work they plan to perform in order to provide the Council with:
 - An audit opinion on whether the financial statements of St Edmundsbury Borough Council give a true and fair view of the financial position as at 31 March 2017 and of the income and expenditure for the year then ended; and
 - A statutory conclusion on the Council's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness. The Audit Plan (attached) is based on EY's risk-based approach to audit planning.
- 1.2 When planning the audit EY take into account several key inputs:
 - Strategic, operational and financial risks relevant to the financial statements.
 - Developments in financial reporting and auditing standards.
 - The quality of systems and processes.
 - Changes in the business and regulatory environment.
 - Management's views on all of the above.
- 1.3 Sections 2 and 3 of **Appendix A**, summarise EY's assessment of the key risks which drive the development of an effective audit for the Council, and outlines their planned audit strategy in response to those risks. Officers will be working with EY over the coming months to ensure that these risks are managed and where possible to come to an agreement over their treatment prior to the issuing of the Annual Governance Report, and Audit Opinion (due to be issued by EY by September 2017).
- 1.4 EY also review and report to the National Audit Office ('NAO'), to the extent and in the form required by them, on our Whole of Government Accounts return.
- 1.5 The planned fee for carrying out this work for 2016/2017 is set out on page 13 of **Appendix A** and the indicative fee for 2017/18 is contained in **Appendix B**. The fees are summarised below:

	Planned fee 2016/2017 £	Indicative fee 2017/2018 £
Audit fee – code work	43,737	43,767
Certification of claims and returns	22,582	TBC

1.6 The code work audit fee for 2017/2018 is an indicative fee only, set by the PSAA (Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd) for each audited body. It will be reviewed and updated as necessary following completion of the 2016/17 audit. The PSAA have yet to confirm the indicative certification fee for 2017/18.